

## **PSJ9 Exh 9**

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
                   FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
 2                   EASTERN DIVISION  
 3    IN RE NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION           |   MDL No. 2804  
                   |  
 4    OPIATE LITIGATION                   |   Case No. 17-MD-2804  
                   |  
 5    This Document Relates to:           |   Judge Dan Aaron Polster  
                   |  
 6    The County of Summit, Ohio,       |  
       et al., v.  
 7    Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.       |  
       Case No. 17-op-45004           |  
 8                   |  
       The County of Cuyahoga v.  
 9    Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.       |  
       Case No. 18-op-45090           |  
 10                  |  
       City of Cleveland, Ohio v.  
 11   Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.       |  
       Case No. 18-op-45132           |

12  
 13

TUESDAY, JANUARY 22, 2019

14

- - -

15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER

16

CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW

17

- - -

18

19               Videotaped deposition of EMILY HALL, held at  
               Foley & Lardner LLP, One Biscayne Tower, 2  
               Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 1900, Miami, Florida,  
 20               commencing at 9:15 a.m., on the above date,  
               before Kelly J. Lawton, Registered Professional  
 21               Reporter, Licensed Court Reporter, Certified  
               Court Reporter.

22

- - -

23

GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES  
 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax  
 24               deps@golkow.com

1           A.    As I stated before, the algorithm will still  
2   catch the orders.  What she is stating is she  
3   reviewed the customers and everything -- and they are  
4   eligible and set for this order.  They have been  
5   approved.

6           Q.    Prior to going through the algorithm?

7           A.    There's limits, and there's an algorithm.

8           Q.    Did Wegmans -- did their limits ever go down  
9   after this one-time purchase?

10           MS. KOSKI:  Object to form.

11   BY MR. STOLTZ:

12           Q.    Was there any SOP for bringing limits down  
13   after a one-time purchase of a large amount of  
14   opioids?

15           MS. KOSKI:  Object to form.

16           THE WITNESS:  I can't say for certain.

17   BY MR. STOLTZ:

18           Q.    Who would have -- would you agree that if  
19   limits didn't return to their number prior to a  
20   limited time increase, customers could order far more  
21   than they normally would have been able to in the  
22   future?

23           MS. KOSKI:  Object to form.

24           THE WITNESS:  Can you clarify?